National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NASA Scientific and Technical Document Availability Authorization (DAA)

The DAA approval process applies to all forms of published NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI), whether disseminated in print or electronically. It is to be initiated by the responsible NASA Project Officer, Technical Monitor, author, or other appropriate NASA official for all presentations, reports, papers, and proceedings that contain NASA STI. Explanations are on the back of this form and are presented in greater detail in NPG 2200.2, "Guidelines for Documentation, Approval, and Dissemination of NASA Scientific and Technical Information."

☐ Original
☐ Modified

I. DOCUMENT/PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

TITLE	AUTHOR(S)
Biodiversity of Saline and Brakish Marshes of the Indian River Lagoon: Historic and Current Patterns.	Schmalzer, P.A.

ORIGINATING NASA ORGANIZATION	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION *(if different)*
Kennedy Space Center Biomedical Office	Dynamac Corporation, KSC

CONTRACT/GRANT/INTERAGENCY/PROJECT NUMBER(S)	DOCUMENT NUMBER(S)	DOCUMENT DATE
	Bulletin of Marine Sci. 57: 37-48	1995

For presentations, documents, or other STI to be externally published (including through electronic media), enter appropriate information on the intended publication such as name, place, and date of conference, periodical, or journal name, or book title and publisher in the next box. These documents must be routed to the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator for approval (see Sections III and VIII).

II. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

CHECK ONE *(One of the five boxes denoting Security Classification must be checked.)*

☐ SECRET ☐ SECRET RD ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ CONFIDENTIAL RD ☐ UNCLASSIFIED

III. AVAILABILITY CATEGORY

☐ ITAR ☐ EAR

Export Controlled Document - USML Category /CCL Export Control Classification Number (ECCN) *(Documents marked in this block must have the concurrence/approval of the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator (see Section VIII).)*

☐ TRADE SECRET
☐ SBIR
☐ COPYRIGHTED

Confidential Commercial Document *(check appropriate box at left and indicate below the appropriate limitation and expiration)*:

- ☐ U.S. Government agencies and U.S. Government agency contractors only
- ☐ NASA contractors and U.S. Government only
- ☐ U.S. Government agencies only
- ☐ NASA personnel and NASA contractors only
- ☐ NASA personnel only
- ☐ Available only with the approval of issuing office:
- ☐ Limited until *(date)*

☒ PUBLICLY AVAILABLE

Publicly available documents must be unclassified, may not be export controlled, may not contain trade secret or confidential commercial data, and should have cleared any applicable patents application process.

IV. DOCUMENT DISCLOSING AN INVENTION

THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE RELEASED ON *(date)*	NASA HQ OR CENTER PATENT OR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL SIGNATURE	DATE

V. BLANKET RELEASE (OPTIONAL)

☐ All documents issued under the following contract/grant/project number may be processed as checked in Sections II and III.

☐ The blanket release authorization granted on *(date)*

- ☐ is RESCINDED - Future documents must have individual availability authorizations.
- ☐ is MODIFIED - Limitations for all documents processed in the STI system under the blanket release should be changed to conform to blocks as checked in Sections II and III.

PLEASE CONTINUE ON REVERSE SIDE.

VI. AUTHOR/ORIGINATOR VERIFICATION

I HAVE DETERMINED THAT THIS PUBLICATION:

☐ DOES contain export controlled, confidential commercial information, and/or discloses an invention for which a patent has been applied, and the appropriate limitation is checked in Sections III and/or IV.

☒ does NOT contain export controlled, confidential commercial information, nor does it disclose an invention for which a patent has been applied, and may be released as indicated above.

NAME OF AUTHOR/ORIGINATOR	MAIL CODE	SIGNATURE	DATE
Paul Schmalzer	Dyn-2	Paul Schmalzer	4-6-98

VII. PROJECT OFFICER/TECHNICAL MONITOR/DIVISION CHIEF REVIEW

☒ APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION AS MARKED ON REVERSE ☐ NOT APPROVED

NAME OF PROJECT OFFICER OR TECH. MONITOR	MAIL CODE	SIGNATURE	DATE
RAYMOND M. WHEELER	JJ-G	Ray M. Wheeler	4/10/98

VIII. EXPORT CONTROL REVIEW/CONFIRMATION

☑ Public release is approved ☐ Export controlled limitation is not applicable

☐ Export controlled limitation is approved ☐ Export controlled limitation (ITAR/EAR) marked in Section III is assigned to this document:

USML CATEGORY NUMBER	CCL ECCN NUMBER	HQ OR CENTER EXPORT CONTROL ADMINISTRATOR *(as applicable)*	DATE
		Dennis L. Miller	4/16/98

IX. PROGRAM OFFICE OR DELEGATED AUTHORITY REVIEW

☒ APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION AS MARKED ON REVERSE ☐ NOT APPROVED CODE M, DAA LETTER,6/14/94

NAME OF PROGRAM OFFICE REPRESENTATIVE	MAIL CODE	SIGNATURE	DATE
Audrey Lee Silipo	FF-S2-A	Audrey Lee Silipo	4/14/98

X. DISPOSITION

THIS FORM, WHEN COMPLETED, IS TO BE SENT TO YOUR CENTER PUBLICATIONS OFFICE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY AUTHORIZATION (DAA) FORM

Purpose. This DAA form is used to prescribe the availability and distribution of all NASA-generated and NASA-funded documents containing scientific and technical information (including those distributed via electronic media such as the World Wide Web and CD-ROM).

Requirements. The author/originator must provide either a suitable summary description (title, abstract, etc.) or a completed copy of the document with this form. This form is initiated by the document author/originator and that individual is responsible for recommending/determining the availability/distribution of the document. The author/originator completes Sections I through III, and VI. The author/originator is also responsible for obtaining information and signature in Section IV to the extent the document discloses an invention for which patent protection has been applied. Subsequent to completion of these sections, the author/originator forwards the document to the appropriate Project Manager/Technical Monitor/Division Chief for further review and approval in Section VII, including a re-review of the planned availability and distribution. Once this approval is obtained, the DAA is forwarded to the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Administrator for completion of Section VIII. It is then forwarded for completion of Section IX to the cognizant NASA Headquarters Program Office or Delegated Authority, who provides final review and approval for release of the document as marked.

When to Use This Form. Documents containing STI and intended for presentation or publication (including via electronic media) must be approved in accordance with the NASA STI Procedures and Guidelines (NPG 2200.2). Documents that are to be published in the NASA STI Report Series must be coordinated with the appropriate NASA Headquarters or Center Scientific and Technical Information Office in accordance with NPG 2200.2. Note that information on the Report Documentation Page (if attached) is not to be entered on the DAA except for title, document date, and contract number.

How to Use this Form. Specific guidelines for each section of this form are detailed below.

I. Document/Project Identification. Provide the information requested. If the document is classified, provide instead the security classification of the title and abstract. (Classified information must not be entered on this form). Include RTOP numbers on the Contract/Grant/Interagency/Project Number(s) line. Provide information on presentations or externally published documents as applicable.

II. Security Classification. Enter the applicable security classification for the document. Documents, if classified, will be available only to appropriately cleared personnel having a "need to know."

III. Availability Category for Unclassified Documents. Check the appropriate category or categories.

Export Controlled Document. If the document is subject to export restrictions (see NPG 2200.2, paragraph 4.5.3), the appropriate restriction must be checked, either International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) or Export Administration Regulations (EAR), and the appropriate United States Munitions List (USML) category or Commerce Control List (CCL), Export Control Classification Number (ECCN) must be cited.

Confidential Commercial Documents (Documents containing Trade Secrets, SBIR documents, and/or Copyrighted Information). Check the applicable box (see NPG 2200.2 paragraph 4.5.7). When any of these boxes are checked, also indicate the appropriate limitation and expiration in the list to the right of these restrictions. These limitations refer to the user groups authorized to obtain the document. The limitations apply both to the initial distribution of the documents and the handling of requests for the documents. The limitations will appear on and apply to reproduced copies of the document. Documents limited to NASA personnel should not be made available to onsite contractors. If the Available Only With the Approval of Issuing Office limitation is checked, the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information will provide only bibliographic processing and no initial distribution; CASI will refer all document requests to the issuing office.

Publicly Available Document - Unrestricted Distribution. Check this box if the information in the document may be made available to the general public without restrictions (unrestricted domestic and international distribution). If the document is copyrighted (see paragraph 4.5.7.3 in NPG 2200.2), also check the "Copyrighted" box in this section.

IV. Document Disclosing an Invention. This must be completed when the document contains information that discloses an invention (see NPG 2200.2, paragraph 4.5.9). When this box is checked, an additional appropriate availability category must be checked. Use of this category must be approved by NASA Headquarters or Center Patent Counsel or the Intellectual Property Counsel.

V. Blanket Release (Optional). Complete this optional section whenever subsequent documents produced under the contract, grant, or project are to be given the same distribution and/or availability as described in Sections II and III. More than one contract number or RTOP Number can be entered. This section may also be used to rescind or modify an earlier Blanket Release. All blanket releases must be approved by the Program Office or its designee and concurred with by the Office of Management Systems and Facilities.

VI. Author/Originator Verification. Required for all DAA forms.

VII. Project Officer/Technical Monitor/Division Chief Review. The Project Officer/Technical Monitor/Author or Originator Division Chief or above must sign and date the form. The office code and typed name should be entered.

VIII. Export Control Review/Confirmation. This section is to be completed by the authorized NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator for all documents.

IX. Program Office or Delegated Authority Review. This section is to be completed by the duly authorized official representing the NASA Headquarters Program Office. Any delegation from NASA Headquarters to a NASA Center in accordance with NPG 2200.2 should be entered here.

X. Disposition. For NASA Center use.

Biodiversity of Saline and Brackish Marshes of the Indian River Lagoon: Historic and Current Patterns

Paul A. Schmalzer

Running Head: Historic and Current Marsh Biodiversity

Abstract

The Indian River Lagoon (IRL) crosses a zone of climatic transition. Historically, marshes dominated saline and brackish environments in the north of the lagoon, while mangroves became important to the south. Periodic freezes limited mangrove distribution and abundance. A unique feature of most IRL marshes was seasonal and wind-driven tidal inundation rather than daily tidal fluctuations; near inlets, tidally influenced marshes occurred. Distribution of marsh communities was influenced by hydrology, salinity, soil characteristics, and fire, as well as periodic freezes. Major marsh community types included cabbage palm (Sabal palmetto) savanna, sand cordgrass (Spartina bakeri) marsh, black rush (Juncus roemerianus) marsh, saltgrass marsh (Distichlis spicata, Paspalum distichum), and mixed halophyte (Batis maritima, Salicornia virginica) marsh. Mud flats occupied significant areas. Black (Avicennia germinans) and white (Languncularia racemosa) mangroves occurred in some areas in open to dense stands associated with saltgrasses and mixed halophytes. Many of these communities were marked by a few dominant species and relatively low within-community plant species diversity. The resulting landscape pattern was complex and diverse. Plant species diversity probably increased from saline to brackish and oligohaline marshes as salinity stress decreased.

Marshes of the IRL have been greatly modified since the 1940s. Impoundment or ditching for mosquito control has affected all but a few areas. Much of the low marsh was replaced by open water or by extensive cattail (Typha cf. domingensis) marshes. Loss of connection with the uplands and changed hydrology probably reduced fire frequency and intensity in the high marshes, favoring invasion by wetland shrubs (Salix caroliniana, Myrica cerifera, Baccharis spp.) and the exotic Brazilian pepper (Schinus terebinthifolius). Other impacts to marshes include dredging and filling and nutrient enrichment.

Dominant and characteristic plant species of these saline and brackish marshes are widespread. Few rare plants are associated with IRL marshes. Despite significant modifications, marsh plant species have not been lost from the region, but community and landscape patterns have been greatly modified and ecosystem processes altered. Vertebrates dependent on these marshes have not all faired as well, as evidenced by the extinction of the Dusky Seaside Sparrow (Ammodramus maritimus nigrescens) that depended on marshes of the IRL and the St. Johns River.

Introduction

The Indian River Lagoon (IRL) supports about 10% of the salt marshes in Florida (Montague and Wiegert, 1990). Marshes are concentrated in the northern IRL, while mangroves are of greater importance in similar sites to the south (Virnstein, 1990; Rey et al., 1991; Larson, 1994, this volume). Several features of the physical and biological environment make these marshes unique. The northern Indian River and Banana River are far from inlets such that semi-diurnal or diurnal tides are nearly absent (Provost, 1973; Smith, 1987). Sea-level and the level of the lagoon change seasonally (Provost, 1973; Smith, 1987), with high levels occurring in fall. Much of the circulation is wind-driven (Smith, 1993). In Mosquito Lagoon, diurnal tides decrease rapidly with distance from Ponce Inlet (Provancha et al., 1992). A sharp temperature gradient occurs along the IRL (Virnstein, 1990). Mangroves in the northern IRL are periodically impacted by freezes severe enough to cause mortality or damage (Provancha et al., 1986a). Despite their extent and unique features, marshes of the IRL have received little study (DeFreese, 1991).

Nearly all of the northern IRL marshes have been modified by impoundment or ditching for mosquito control (Rey and Kain, 1989; Rey et al., 1991). Most impoundment was conducted with little documentation of conditions existing before modification, making reconstruction of changes difficult.

In this paper, I give an overview of the current status of saline and brackish marshes of the IRL, examine past conditions from the historical record, and suggest what these changes may mean for biodiversity. I concentrate on landscape and community diversity (Noss, 1990), primarily of vascular plants, with some consideration of the processes needed to maintain diversity (Noss, 1990).

Current Patterns

The area considered is the northern IRL extending from Ponce Inlet to the southern end of Merritt Island. The general sequence of brackish and saline marsh communities (Schmalzer and Hinkle, 1985; Provancha et al., 1986b) from the upland interface toward the lagoon is as follows:

1. Cabbage palm savanna has a scattered canopy of cabbage palm (Sabal palmetto) and a graminoid layer of sand cordgrass (Spartina bakeri) and other grasses and forbs.
2. Sand cordgrass marsh is dominated by this robust clump grass.
3. Black rush (Juncus roemerianus) marsh occurs in similar landscape positions to sand cordgrass marsh. It may form nearly monotypic stands, but mixed stands of Spartina and Juncus also occur. Collectively, sand cordgrass and black rush marshes constitute "high" marsh.
4. Saltgrass marsh is dominated by salt tolerant grasses of low stature. Saltgrass (Distichlis spicata) is typical, but in some areas seashore paspalum (Paspalum distichum) is dominant.
5. Mixed halophyte marsh originally occupied much of the lagoonward fringe of the marshes and remains in some areas. Saltwort (Batis maritima) and glasswort (Salicornia virginica) are dominant species. Collectively, saltgrass and mixed halophyte marsh are termed "low" marsh.
6. Sea oxeye (Borrichia frutescens) occurs as nearly monotypic patches within high or low marshes.
7. Black (Avicennia germinans) and white (Languncularia racemosa) mangroves occur in some areas in open to dense stands, frequently of low stature and associated with saltgrasses and mixed halophytes. Red mangroves (Rhizophora mangle) occur within the northern IRL but generally as scattered individuals not forming stands.

8. Saltmarsh cordgrass (Spartina alterniflora) occurs as narrow strips along some shorelines.

9. Mud flats or salt flats occurred within the salt marsh landscape where accumulation of salt or hydrologic conditions prevented establishment of perennial vegetation. These areas remain in some impoundments and support stands of the annual glasswort (Salicornia biglovii).

10. Tidal creeks and isolated ponds occurred before impoundment in some areas. Impoundment frequently increased open water habitat, at least temporarily.

11. Cattail (Typha cf. domingensis) marsh was probably a minor component of salt marsh landscapes before impoundment, but it has greatly increased in impoundments where freshwater conditions have predominated.

Not all landscapes contain this entire sequence. Duration of inundation, salinity, soil characteristics, and fire frequency are important factors along this gradient. In the northern and central parts of Mosquito Lagoon, saltgrass and mixed halophyte marshes with and without mangroves predominate (Southeastern Wildlife Services, Inc., 1979; Provancha et al., 1986b). At the southern end of Mosquito Lagoon, there is greater representation of high marshes. Merritt Island supports the greatest area and diversity of salt marshes (Provancha et al., 1986b). The north end of the Indian River along Turnbull Creek contains most of the unimpounded marshes remaining (Environmentally Endangered Lands Program, 1992).

Commonly occurring plants of saline and brackish marshes are listed in Table 1; nomenclature follows Wunderlin (1982). This list is derived from Schmalzer and Hinkle (1985), Schmalzer et al. (1991), and unpublished data. It is not exhaustive and does not represent equal sampling in all types. There is a general decline in number of species with increasing salinity, i.e., from cabbage palm savanna to mixed halophyte or saltmarsh cordgrass marsh. Presence of typically freshwater marsh species in saline marsh types may be due to hydrological changes from impoundment.

Historic Patterns

Historical accounts provide insight into past vegetation patterns, but must be viewed with care (Forman and Russell, 1983). Access to the landscape was difficult for early explorers, and marshes were of little economic importance.

A Spanish expedition lead by Alvaro Mexia scouted east coast waterways from St. Augustine to the Indian River inlet in 1605. He noted mangroves along the shore of Mosquito Lagoon and on islands in the lagoon. Marshes occurred along Turnbull Creek and other drainages. Upland types included coastal strand, live oak forest, and pine flatwoods. Indian settlements were clustered around hammocks (Davison and Bratton, 1986).

British land grants of the 1780s noted the Great Swamp (Turnbull Hammock) at the head of the Indian River (swamps soils were prized for agriculture). Maps indicated mangroves in Mosquito Lagoon and marshes along Turnbull Creek. Hammocks and pine flatwoods occurred on the uplands (Davison and Bratton, 1986).

J.R. Motte, an Army surgeon during the Seminole Wars, traversed the IRL in the winter of 1837-38. Journeying from New Smyrna to Haulover he recorded in his journal (Motte, 1845):

"The first half of our way we had to thread our course through a labyrinth of low mangrove islands, partly inundated and inaccessible ... The scenery was anything but prepossessing; nothing visible but dried and tangled limbs of dead mangrove trees, which had been killed by a frost in the severe winter of 1835 ... Life of another kind abounded; vast numbers of aquatic birds covered the waters ... Innumerable species of wild ducks, pelicans, etc., floated around us ... the dark and leafless limbs of the closely growing mangroves were dotted with thousands of white cranes (egrets) ...

Ever and anon would a flock of Pink-Curlews (roseate spoonbills) ... hover over our heads ..." (p. 156).

South in Mosquito Lagoon he noted:

"In other spots,

Vast plains, spread out on every side,

Stretch to the sloping skies

These were covered with a tall rank grass or prairie reed; presenting a sea of grass in one unbroken expanse ... Nothing obstructed the eye, save here and there a melancholy looking palmetto tree ... " (p. 159)

Landing at Haulover (south of the present canal):

"We landed at last, after a long wade through the water, amidst a thick growth of prairie reed and sawgrass higher than our heads ... " (p. 160).

Other elements he noted included: scrub at Haulover (p. 161), gopher tortoises and indigo snakes (p. 165), wolves (p. 173), and at Fort Pierce:

"Inadequate are words to express the quantity and quality of fish that abounded in those waters ... " (p. 177).

Later in the 19th to early 20th century as settlement proceeded, impacts to natural communities included: logging of live oak, citrus agriculture, logging of pinelands, and free-ranging grazing of cattle with the burning of marshes, pinelands, and scrub to improve forage (Davison and Bratton, 1986). Only grazing and marsh burning among these activities would have had direct impacts to saline and brackish marshes, and these impacts were probably not great. Fire is a natural occurrence in these high marshes whose dominant species resprout readily (Schmalzer et al., 1991).

Roland Harper (1921) was the first plant ecologist to survey Merritt Island; wetland types he noted include palm savanna, salt marsh, and mangroves (p. 147). Common salt marsh species he listed are given in Table 2.

J.K. Small made several collecting trips through the IRL region (Small, 1919; 1921; 1922; 1923; 1927) but appears to have been more interested in hammocks, shell middens, and scrub than marshes. Near Turtle Mound along Mosquito Lagoon, he noted black, white, and red mangroves occurring; at a shell midden farther south along Mosquito Lagoon, he reported marsh and shoreline plants including Salicornia, Batis, Sesuvium, Philoxerus, Suaeda linearis, and Bacopa monnieri (Small, 1923, p. 203, 206). On a 1922 trip (Small, 1927, p. 12) at the south end of Merritt Island at the lagoon's edge, he noted red, black, and white mangroves, and Conocarpus (button mangrove).

These historical records suggest that salt and brackish marshes of the northern IRL remained largely intact well into the 20th century.

Marsh Impoundment

Organized efforts at mosquito control in the IRL began in the 1940s and intensified after World War II (Provost, 1959; 1977). Massive quantities of DDT were applied between 1946 and 1951; other insecticides were then adopted because salt marsh mosquitos had become resistant to DDT. There was no documentation of the effects of insecticide applications on marsh ecosystems. Effects on non-target insects and arthropods must have been substantial, as may have been effects on birds (Sykes, 1980). The population of the Dusky Seaside Sparrow on Merritt Island was estimated to have declined by 70% by 1957 due to aerial spraying of insecticides (Nicholson in Trost, 1968).

Concerns over massive insecticide application and development of insecticide resistance by mosquitos spurred consideration of alternative control measures (Provost, 1959; 1977). The life cycle of salt marsh mosquitos could be interrupted by maintaining flooded conditions in the irregularly flooded marshes that were primary breeding areas (Provost, 1959; Clements and Rogers, 1964). This was achieved by building perimeter dikes around salt marshes and flooding the marsh by either trapping rain water and runoff, pumping water from the lagoon, or tapping artesian wells (Provost, 1959). Although flooding was necessary only in the mosquito breeding season, continuous flooding was common early in the use of impoundments (Provost, 1959). Initial diking of marshes began in about 1955. The construction of higher, permanent dikes began in 1959, and nearly all marshes were impounded by 1970 (Rey and Kain, 1989).

Such drastic hydrologic alterations were bound to have major effects on these wetlands. The original marshes were heterogeneous, and management after impoundment varied in the source and salinity of water used for flooding, the duration of flooding, and the degree of exchange with the lagoon. There was a substantial loss of low marsh; mixed halophyte marsh was highly sensitive to overflooding, and saltgrass marsh was also reduced (Clements and Rogers, 1964; Harrington and Harrington, 1982). Black mangrove was sensitive to water levels exceeding its pneumatophores, and was often killed or damaged by overflooding (Clements and Rogers, 1964; Harrington and Harrington, 1982). High marshes were impacted in some impoundments and less so in others (Trost, 1964). Open water habitat increased and was used by wading birds and waterfowl (Provost, 1959; 1969; Trost, 1964). Where freshwater was used to flood impoundments, increased cover of cattail (Provost, 1959; Trost, 1964) and willow (Rey and Kain, 1989) frequently occurred. Where pumping from the lagoon or flows from saline wells was used, salt accumulation eliminated much vegetation (Bidlingmayer, 1982; Rey et al., 1990). The

exotic Brazilian pepper (Schinus terebinthifolius) frequently established along dike roads, and dikes may facilitate its invasion into impounded marshes. Although it is possible to manage impoundments to reduce mosquito populations without such drastic effects on vegetation (O'Bryan et al., 1990), the resources to implement such management have often been lacking.

Some areas that could not be impounded, particularly low islands with mangroves or mixed halophytes, were ditched extensively. Along the mainland shore of the IRL some marshes were filled for development, but the extent of this is poorly documented. Some Merritt island shorelines, particularly along Sykes Creek, were filled in the 1960's (Larson, 1994, this volume)

Effects of these changes on biodiversity are not simple. Early advocates of impoundment (Provost, 1959) claimed that it converted "barren" high marshes into "bird-rich" permanent water habitats and that dikes greatly expanded marsh-edge habitat. This focused on only one element of diversity, wading birds and waterfowl, and ignored negative effects on other biota. Open water impoundments are now important to wading birds and waterfowl (Breininger and Smith, 1990; Smith and Breininger, 1994, this volume). However, wading birds and waterfowl had been abundant in the region historically. Impoundment increased wading bird use of previous high marsh (Trost, 1964), but no systematic surveys of plants, birds, mammals, other vertebrates, or invertebrates were conducted before marsh impoundment. Montague et al. (1985) argued that impoundment increased diversity by creating freshwater marshes and upland habitat (dikes) where there had been only salt marsh. One may question whether cattail monocultures or Brazilian pepper-lined dikes are of net benefit to biodiversity.

Distribution of plant communities and their areal extent have clearly been changed. Although historical aerial imagery dating from the 1940s to the present

exists for much of the area, only qualitative assessments (Montague et al., 1984) have been made.

Native plant species of these saline and brackish marshes are widespread in distribution (Godfrey and Wooten, 1979; 1981). None have been eliminated from the region. None of the salt marsh flora is listed or under consideration for listing as threatened or endangered at the Federal level (Schmalzer and Hinkle, 1990; Wood, 1992). Mangroves are afforded some protection by state law but are not rare. Leather fern (Acrostichum danaeifolium) is listed as threatened by the Florida Department of Agriculture (Wood, 1992) but remains relatively common in appropriate habitat (Wunderlin, 1982).

One study (Harrington and Harrington, 1982) reported that insects and arthropod diversity was greatly reduced where flooding eliminated mixed halophyte vegetation. Chynoweth (1975) reported 75 families of foliage and soil macroinvertebrates in a six month study of a restored Spartina-Distichlis-Sesuvium marsh. No comparisons of insect diversity in impounded and unimpounded marshes are known.

The conspicuous element of regional biodiversity most negatively impacted by impoundment was the Dusky Seaside Sparrow, restricted to marshes of northern Merritt Island and the St. Johns River. Impoundment destroyed the low and high marsh habitat required by this species (Trost, 1968; Sykes, 1980). Although habitat restoration was carried out in one impoundment (Leenhouts and Baker, 1982), the Merritt Island population did not recover (Sykes, 1980). With the loss of the St. Johns River population and captive individuals, extinction occurred (Walters, 1992).

Functional attributes of salt marshes were affected by impoundment. Loss of connection to upland systems probably influenced fire frequencies, as may have increased hydroperiods. Invasion of woody species (Baccharis, Myrica, Salix, Schinus) is facilitated by reduced fire frequency (Wade, 1991). Fires affect nutrient

dynamics as well as species composition (Levine et al., 1990; Schmalzer and Hinkle, 1992; 1993). Impoundment may have changed sedimentation rates (R. Parkinson, pers. com.). Loss or reduction of connection between marshes and the lagoons may have negatively affected fish and macrocrustaceans (e.g., Harrington and Harrington, 1982; Gilmore et al., 1982). Conclusive supporting data are lacking for this in northern IRL high marshes (Montague et al., 1985).

Recommendations

Restoration of impounded marshes is now a priority with several management agencies (Rey et al., 1991). Removal of dikes combined with prescribed burning and herbiciding of shrubs was successful in reducing cover of cattail and shrubs amd increasing that of saltmarsh species in the T-10-K impoundment (Leenhouts and Baker, 1982). However, dike removal is likely to be done in only a few impoundments, because mosquito control is still a priority. Complete restoration of "natural" (pre-impoundment) conditions may not be desirable in all impoundments, because it could have significant negative effects on populations of wading birds and waterfowl, particularly since there has been massive loss of wetlands in the St. Johns River Basin (Kushlan, 1990; Breininger and Smith, 1990). Increasing numbers of culverts and greater use of pumps are common strategies to improve impoundment management (Rey et al., 1991). Effectiveness of these techniques in the marshes of the northern IRL is not yet determined. Although it is recognized that impoundment management should be coordinated on a regional basis (Rey et al., 1991), that is not yet the case. Private ownership of many impoundments outside of Kennedy Space Center/Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge/Canaveral National Seashore complicates regional management; public acquisition of these impoundments or development of conservation easements should be pursued. Clear goals need to be established for

impoundment restoration and management. Monitoring needs to be tied closely to restoration and management, and monitoring needs to be of sufficient scope and duration to determine long-term changes in major components of the biota. Opportunities exist to determine ecosystem and landscape level changes from restoration. However, funding for monitoring and research is not sufficient to begin to address critical questions. Impoundment of IRL marshes was conducted with incomplete understanding of the consequences and inadequate monitoring of the results. Restoration should proceed with careful monitoring of its effects.

Acknowledgments

This study was conducted under NASA contract NAS10-11624. I thank Dr. William M. Knott, III, Chief, Biological Research and Life Support Office, and Burton Summerfield, Pollution Control Officer, Biomedical Operations and Research Office, for their continuing support.

Literature Cited

Bidlingmayer, W.L. 1982. Surveying salt marsh mosquito control impoundments in central Florida. J. Florida Anti-Mosquito Assoc. 53:4-7.

Breininger, D.R. and R.B. Smith. 1990. Waterbird use of coastal impoundments and management implications in east-central Florida. Wetlands 10:223-241.

Chynoweth, L.A. 1975. Net primary production of Spartina and species diversity of associated macroinvertebrates of a semi-impounded salt marsh. Tech. Rep. No. 1, Grant No. NGR10-019-009. NASA/KSC. 147p.

Clements, B.W. and A.J. Rogers. 1964. Studies of impounding for the control of saltmarsh mosquitoes in Florida, 1958-1963. Mosquito News 24:265-276.

Davison, K.L. and S.P. Bratton. 1986. The vegetation history of Canaveral National Seashore, Florida. National Park Service Cooperative Park Studies Unit Tech. Rep. 22. Institute of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens. 75p.

DeFreese, D.E. 1991. Threats to biological diversity in marine and estuarine ecosystems of Florida. Coastal Manag. 19:73-101.

Environmentally Endangered Lands Program. 1992. Indian River Lagoon Turnbull/Scottsmoor Project CARL Application. Prepared by Environmentally Endangered Lands Program Brevard County Office of Natural Resources Management, St. Johns River Water Management District, and Volusia County.

Forman, R.T.T. and E.W.B. Russell. 1983. Evaluation of historical data in ecology. Bull Ecol. Soc. Amer. 64:5-7.

Gilmore, R.G., D.W. Cooke, and C.J. Donohoe. 1982. A comparison of the fish populations and habitat in open and closed salt marsh impoundments in east-central Florida. Northeast Gulf Sci 5(2):25-37.

Godfrey, R.K. and J.W. Wooten. 1979. Aquatic and wetland plants of southeastern United States: Monocotyledons. The University of Georgia Press, Athens. 712p.

Godfrey, R.K. and J.W. Wooten 1981. Aquatic and wetland plants of the southeastern United States: Dicotyledons. The University of Georgia Press, Athens. 933p.

Harper, R.M. 1921. Geography of central Florida. p.71-307 in 13th Annual Report of the Florida State Geological Survey, Tallahassee.

Harrington, R.W., Jr. and E.S. Harrington. 1982. Effects on fishes and their forage organisms of impounding a Florida salt marsh to prevent breeding by salt marsh mosquitoes. Bull. Mar. Sci. 32:523-531.

Kushlan, J.L. 1990. Freshwater marshes. p. 324-363. In: R.L. Myers and J.J. Ewel (eds.). Ecosystems of Florida. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando.

Larson, V. 1994. Fragmentation of the land-water margin within the northern and central Indian River Lagoon watershed. this volume.

Leenhouts, W.P. and J.L. Baker. 1982. Vegetation dynamics in Dusky Seaside Sparrow habitat on Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge. Wildlife Soc. Bull. 10:127-132.

Levine, J.S., W.R. Cofer, III, D.I. Sebacher, R.P. Rhinehart, E.L. Winstead, S. Sebacher, C.R. Hinkle, P.A. Schmalzer, and A.M. Koller, Jr. 1990. The effects of fire on biogenic emissions of methane and nitric oxide from wetlands. J. Geophys. Res. 95:1853-1864.

Montague, C.L. and R.G. Wiegert. 1990. Salt marshes. p. 481-516. In: R.L. Myers and J.J. Ewel (eds.). Ecosystems of Florida. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando.

Montague, C.L., A.V. Zale, and H.F. Percival. 1984. Photographic analysis of natural and impounded salt marshes in the vicinity of Merritt Island, Florida. Tech. Rep. No. 11. Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, University of Florida, Gainesville. 19p.

Montague, C.L., A.V. Zale, and H.F. Percival. 1985. Final report. A conceptual model of salt marsh management on Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge, Florida. Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit. Tech. Rep. No. 17. Gainesville.

Motte, J.R. 1845. Journey into Wilderness: an Army surgeon's account of life in camp and field during the Creek and Seminole Wars 1836-1838. Edited by J.F. Sunderman, published 1953, reprinted 1963. University Presses of Florida, Gainesville. 326p.

Noss, R.F. 1990. Indicators for monitoring biodiversity: a hierarchical approach. Conserv. Biol. 4:355-364.

O'Bryan, P.D., D.B. Carlson, and R.G. Gilmore. 1990. Salt marsh mitigation: an example of the process of balancing mosquito control, natural resource, and development interests. Florida Sci. 53:189-203.

Provancha, J.A., C.R. Hall, and D.M. Oddy. 1992. Mosquito Lagoon environmental resources inventory. NASA Tech. Mem. 107548. Kennedy Space Center, Florida. 111p.

Provancha, M.J., P.A. Schmalzer, and C.R. Hall. 1986a. Effects of the December 1983 and January 1985 freezing air temperatures on select aquatic poikilotherms and plant species of Merritt Island, Florida. Florida Sci. 49:199-212.

Provancha, M.J., P.A. Schmalzer, and C.R. Hinkle. 1986b. Vegetation types (Maps). John F. Kennedy Space Center, Biomedical Operations and Research Office. (Maps in Master Planning Map format, digitization by ERDAS, Inc.).

Provost, M.W. 1959. Impounding salt marshes for mosquito control and its effects on bird life. Florida Naturalist 32:163-170.

Provost, M.W. 1969. Ecological control of salt marsh mosquitos with side benefits to birds. p. 193-206. In: Tall Timbers Conference on Ecological Animal Control by Habitat Management. Tallahassee, Florida.

Provost, M.W. 1973. Mean high water mark and use of tidelands in Florida. Florida Sci. 36:50-66.

Provost, M.W. 1977. Source reduction in salt-marsh mosquito control: past and future. Mosquito News 37:689-698.

Rey, J.R., R.A. Crossman, and T.R. Kain. 1990. Vegetation dynamics in impounded marshes along the Indian River Lagoon, Florida, USA. Envir. Manag. 14:397-409.

Rey, J.R. and T. Kain. 1989. A guide to the salt marsh impoundments of Florida. Florida Medical Entomology Laboratory. Vero Beach. 447p.

Rey, J.R., T. Kain, and R. Stahl. 1991. Wetland impoundments of east-central Florida. Florida Sci. 54:33-40.

Schmalzer, P.A. and C.R. Hinkle. 1985. A brief overview of plant communities and the status of selected plant species at John F. Kennedy Space Center, Florida. Report to Biomedical Office, Kennedy Space Center, Florida.

Schmalzer, P.A. and C.R. Hinkle. 1990. Flora and threatened and endangered plants of John F. Kennedy Space Center, Florida. NASA Tech. Mem. 102791. John F. Kennedy Space Center, Florida. 68p.

Schmalzer, P.A. and C.R. Hinkle. 1992. Soil dynamics following fire in Juncus and Spartina marshes. Wetlands 12:8-21.

Schmalzer, P.A. and C.R. Hinkle. 1993. Effects of fire on nutrient concentrations and standing crops in biomass of Juncus roemerianus and Spartina bakeri marshes. Castanea 58:90-114.

Schmalzer, P.A. C.R. Hinkle, and J.L. Mailander. 1991. Changes in species composition and biomass in Juncus roemerianus Scheele and Spartina bakeri Merr. marshes one year after fire. Wetlands 11:67-86.

Small, J.K. 1919. Coastwise dunes and lagoons, a record of botanical exploration in Florida in the spring of 1918. J. New York Bot. Gard. 20:191-207.

Small, J.K. 1921. Historic trails, by land and water, a record of exploration in Florida in December 1919. J. New York Bot. Gard. 22:193-222.

Small, J.K. 1922. The botanical fountain of youth, a record of exploration in Florida in April 1920. J. New York Bot. Gard. 23:117-133, 139-155.

Small, J.K. 1923. Green deserts and dead gardens, a record of exploration in Florida in the spring of 1921. J. New York Bot. Gard. 24:193-246.

Small, J.K. 1927. Among floral aborigines, a record of exploration in Florida in the winter of 1922. J. New York Bot. Gard. 28:1-20, 25-40.

Smith, N.P. 1987. An introduction to the tides of Florida's Indian River Lagoon. I. Water levels. Florida Sci. 50:49-61.

Smith, N.P. 1993. Tidal and wind-driven transport between Indian River and Mosquito Lagoon, Florida. Florida Sci. 56:235-246.

Smith, R.B. and D.R. Breininger. 1994. Wading bird populations of the Kennedy Space Center. this volume.

Southeastern Wildlife Services, Inc. 1979. Vegetation of a selected section of Canaveral National Seashore. (map by L.K. Kirkman).

Sykes, P.W., Jr. 1980. Decline and disappearance of the Dusky Seaside Sparrow from Merritt Island, Florida. American Birds 34:728-737.

Trost, C.H. 1964. Study of wildlife usage of salt marsh on east coast of Florida before and after impoundment for mosquito and sandfly control. Final report. Florida State Board of Health Entomological Research Center, Vero Beach.

Trost, C.H. 1968. Dusky Seaside Sparrow. p. 849-859. In: A.C. Bent and O.L. Austin, Jr. (eds.). Life histories of North American cardinals, grosbeaks, buntings, towhees, finches, sparrows, and allies. U. S. National Museum Bull. 237.

Virnstein, R.W. 1990. The large spatial and temporal variability of the Indian River Lagoon. Florida Sci. 53:249-256.

Wade, D.D. 1991. High-intensity prescribed fire to maintain Spartina marsh at the urban-wildland interface. Proc. 17th Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conf., p. 211-216. Tallahassee, Florida.

Walters, M.J. 1992. A shadow and a song: the struggle to save an endangered species. Chelsa Green Publishing Company, Post Mills, Vermont. 238p.

Wood, D.A. 1992. Official lists of endangered and potentially endangered fauna and flora in Florida. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. Tallahassee. 25p.

Wunderlin, R.P. 1982. Guide to the vascular plants of Central Florida. University Presses of Florida, Gainesville. 472p.

Mail Code BIO-2, The Bionetics Corporation, NASA, Biomedical Operations and Research Office, Kennedy Space Center, Florida 32899

Table 1. Commonly occurring vascular plants in brackish and saline marshes and inpounded marshes of the northern Indian River Lagoon.

Species	Community Type							
	Cabbage Palm Savanna	Sand Cordgrass Marsh	Black Rush Marsh	Saltgrass Marsh	Mixed Halophyte Marsh	Cattail Marsh	Satmarsh Cordgrass Marsh	Mixed Mangroves
Acrostichum danaeifolium		x	x	x	x	x		x
Amaranthus australis			x	x		x		
Andropogon spp.	x	x	x					
Aster tenuifolius			x					
Avicennia germinans							x	x
Baccharis halimifolia	x	x				x		
Bacopa monnieri	x	x		x				
Batis maritima					x		x	x
Blechnum serrulatum	x	x						
Boehmeria cylindrica	x							
Borrichia frutescens	x			x	x			x
Cladium jamaicense	x	x						x
Conocarpus erecta								x
Cyperus esculentus				x				
Cyperus odoratus				x		x		x
Diospyros virginiana	x							
Distichlis spicata			x	x	x	x		x
Echinochloa walteri		x		x		x		

Species	Community Type							
	Cabbage Palm Savanna	Sand Cordgrass Marsh	Black Rush Marsh	Saltgrass Marsh	Mixed Halophyte Marsh	Cattail Marsh	Satmarsh Cordgrass Marsh	Mixed Mangroves
Senecio glabellus	x							
Sesuvium portulacastrum				x	x			x
Setaria magna						x		x
Spartina alterniflora					x		x	x
Spartina bakeri	x	x	x	x				
Sporobolus virginicus				x				
Suaeda linearis								x
Typha domingensis				x		x		
Urena lobata	x	x	x	x				
Utricularia spp.		x	x			x		
Vicia acutifolia		x						
Vigna luteola				x		x		x
Vitis rotundifolia	x							
Woodwardia virginica	x							

Species	Community Type							
	Cabbage Palm Savanna	Sand Cordgrass Marsh	Black Rush Marsh	Saltgrass Marsh	Mixed Halophyte Marsh	Cattail Marsh	Satmarsh Cordgrass Marsh	Mixed Mangroves
Panicum rigidulum			x					
Panicum spp.	x	x	x					
Paspalum distichum				x				x
Physalis viscosa	x							
Pluchea odorata						x		
Pluchea rosea	x		x					
Polygonum hydropiperoides		x	x			x		
Polygonum punctatum		x				x		
Rhynchospora spp.	x		x					
Sabal palmetto	x							
Sacciolepis striata		x	x					
Sagittaria lancifolia	x	x	x					
Salicornia bigelovii					x			
Salicornia virginica					x		x	x
Salix caroliniana		x	x			x		
Sambucus canadensis	x							
Samolus valerandi	x							
Schinus terebinthifolius	x	x						x
Scirpus robustus		x		x				
Sclera reticulata		x	x					

Species	Cabbage Palm Savanna	Sand Cordgrass Marsh	Black Rush Marsh	Saltgrass Marsh	Mixed Halophyte Marsh	Cattail Marsh	Satmarsh Cordgrass Marsh	Mixed Mangroves
	Community Type							
Erectites hieracifolia	x							x
Erianthus giganteus	x	x	x					
Eriocaulon sp.		x	x					
Eupatorium capillifolium	x			x				
Fuierena breviseta			x					
Fimbristylis castanea	x			x				
Heliotropium curassavicum								x
Hydrocotyle sp.	x	x	x					
Ilex cassine	x							
Ipomoea sagittata		x	x					
Juncus roemerianus	x	x	x					x
Languncularia racemosa								x
Leptochloa fascicularis				x				
Ludwigia peruviana			x			x		
Ludwigia repens	x		x					
Lycium carolinianum					x			
Mikania scandens	x	x	x	x		x		
Myrica cerifera	x	x	x					
Osmunda regalis	x							
Oxypolis filiformis			x					

Table 2. Common Salt Marsh Species of the East Coast Strip Recorded by Harper (1921, p. 148).

Species	Habitat
Acrostichum aureum (= danaeifolium)	salt marsh
Avicennia nitida (= germinans)	salt marsh
Batis maritima	salt marsh
Borrichia frutescens	salt marsh
Cladium effusum (= jamaicense)	fresh marsh
Iva frutescens	salt marsh edge
Juncus roemerianus	salt marsh
Spartina bakeri	marshes, savannas